Recutive Registry
7-4532

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 21, 1955

NSC REVIEW COMPLETED, 6/26/03

Honorable Allen W. Dulles Director of Central Intelligence

Dear Mr. Dulles:

Governor Stassen asked me to forward for your attention the attached copy of a letter to the President from Marshal Bulganin.

Sincerely yours,

Joseph S. Toner Executive Secretary

Disarmament Staff

Enclosure: Letter of

September 19

SECRET

Approved For Release 2003/09/02 : CIA-RDP80B01676R004200 100008-9

With Jons

UNCLASSIFIED	RESTRICTED		SECRET	
▲ Approvedentoent	Release 2003	09 /02 to 61ApRDR80B0	1876R004	200100008-9

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

то		INITIALS	DATE		
1	MR. BISSELL	N/K	417/56		
2	Typeutive Jegistry	str	8/24/66		
3			/ //		
4					
5					
FROM		INITIALS	DATE		
1	THE DIRECTOR	AWD/jse	9/22/55		
2					
3					
APPROVAL INFORMATION SIGNATURE ACTION DIRECT REPLY RETURN COMMENT PREPARATION OF REPLY DISPATCH CONCURRENCE RECOMMENDATION FILE Remarks: Forwarded per your conversation					
with the DCI this date.					
	JSE				

SEARPTOVED TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF

Approved For Release 2003/09/02 : CIA-RDP80B01676R004200100008-9

TRANSLATION

7-6055

Seal of the USSR

Kremlin, Moscow

September 19, 1955

Dear Mr. President:

I feel I must sincerely and frankly exchange opinions with you on a subject which at the present time has acquired particular importance. I have in mind the question which is being discussed now by our representatives in the Subcommittee of the UN Disarmament Commission.

In the course of our memorable meetings in Geneva we agreed to work jointly for elaboration of an acceptable system of disarmament. When we approved directives to our Minister of Foreign Affairs on this score I thought a great deal had been accomplished. Now the representatives of our countries guided by these directives and taking into account in their work the opinions and proposals put forth by the heads of the four Governments in Geneva can and must achieve definite progress.

I and

His Excellency Dwight D. Eisenhower,

The President of the United States of America, Washington, D.C.

Approved For Release 2003/09/02 : CIA-RDP80B01676R004200100008-9

I and my colleagues thought that even at the very beginning of their work our representatives would be able to reach general agreement on those basic questions on which our viewpoints either coincided or had already appreciably approach each other. I have in mind first of all the question of the levels of armed forces of the five Great Powers, the question of dates for introducing into force the prohibition of atomic weapons, and the question of international control. In this manner there would be created a solid foundation for further work during which it would be possible to make more precise all the details of the necessary agreements concerning the working out of an acceptable system of disarmement.

However, the first weeks of the work of the Subcommittee so far have not yet produced those results for which you and I were fully entitled to hope, and I must frankly say that the delay is occasioned to a considerable degree by the fact that the members of the Subcommittee so far do not know the position of the representative of the United States with regard to those provisions which we had all the gounds to consider as agreed. As is known, the representative of the United States completely put aside the questions of reduction of the armed forces, of the armament and prohibition of atomic weapons, having expressed the

desire

desire to discuss first of all and mainly your proposal concerning the exchange of military information between the USBS and the USA as well as of the mutual exchange of aerial photography of the territories of both countries. In this manner the impression is left that the entire problem of disarmament is being confined by him to these proposals.

I think to put the question in this manner would not satisfy the aspirations of peoples, even though I fully recognize the importance of the proposals introduced by you in Geneva.

mentioned impression, I consider it my duty once more to share with you, esteemed Er. President, certain primary considerations.

efforts to look for such ways which would permit us to nove the problem of disarmament away from dead center, which probablem has vital importance for the peoples of the USA as well as of peoples of the entire world.

In connection with this allow me to touch upon the proposals put forward by you in Geneva. We regard these proposals as testimony of your sincers desire to find a way to settle the impretant problem of the international control and inspection and to contribute personally to general efforts for the normalization of international relations.

Boon our

Upon our return from Geneva we will all carefulness have studied your proposal of July 21 which has introduced on August 31 by Mr. Stassen into the Disarmament Subcommittee. In the course of this study several questions have arisen about which I would like to express to you my thoughts.

First of all, about the mutual exchange by the United States of America and the Soviet Union of information concerning their armed forces and armaments.

In principle, we have no objections to this proposal. I think that at a definite state the exchange of such information between states is necessary. It would be better, however, if such information concerning armaments were submitted by all states, and not only by the U.S. and the USSR, to the international organ of control and inspection, concerning the creation of which we should reach an agreement. In order to avoid misunderstandings, it is self-evident that information on all kinds of armaments, conventional as well as nuclear, must be submitted in order to avoid misunderstanding. If these considerations are valid, we should carefully discuss exactly when this full information on armaments of states should be presented and first of all information concerning the armaments of great powers.

It is self-evident that the submission of the above-mentioned information to an international control organ would become significant only if agreement is achieved on the reduction of armaments

and on

and on taking measures for the prohibition of atomic weapons.

It seems to me that the problem of the creation of an international control organ which would satisfy the requirements of the problems of disarmament whould be considered indissoluable unity with decisions for putting into effect a plan for gradual disarmament. At the same time it is necessary to keep sight of the fact that achievement of a really valuable exchange of the military information will become the more effective to the degree that mutual trust among states is strengthened.

How I would also like to express my opinion about the problem of aerial photography.

I do not doubt that when you introduced your proposal for photographing from the air the territories of our two countries, you were guided by a legitimate desire to create confidence that neither of our two countries would be subjected to attack by the other.

Mowever, let us be frank to the end. Under present international conditions both our countries are not acting singly.

The United Statesof America, asis known heads all military groupings which exist in the West and in the East, and what is more their armed forces are stationed not only on American territory, they are also stationed in England, West Germany, Italy, France, Spain, North Africa, Greece, Turkey, in several countries of the Near and Middle East, in Japan, on Taiwan, in the Philippines, etc.

the Philippines, etc.

To this should be added the fact that the armed forces of several states are organically connected with the military forces of the United States through inclusion under a single command.

Under these conditions, the Soviet Union on its side has united militarily with several allied states.

It is impossible not to see that the proposal introduced by you completely omits from consideration armed forces and military installations which are outside the area of the United States and the Soviet Union.

And yet it is perfectly self-evident that aerial photographing should also be extended to all armed forces and military in-stallations located on the territories of those other states.

This presents an entirely new problem: Would the governments of such states permit their markers sovereign territory to be photographed from the air by foreign mass aircraft?

the question which under the problem of serial photography is not the question which under the present conditions would be conducive to the effective progress towards insuring security of states and successful accomplishment of disarmement.

This conclusion is suggested by the fact that your proposal, maximum unfortunately does not mention the necessity for reduction of armaments and prohibition of atomic weapons.

It las

Approved For Release 2003/09/02: CIA-RDP80B01676R004200100008-9

- 10 -

It is therefore natural that people should ask more and more often what the proposal for aerial photography and the collecting of such information would really do to the arms race. If such a proposal does not promote the ending of the arms race, then it means that it does not remove the threat of a new war. It does not lighten the burden which the peoples are bearing in connection with this arms race. Would such a proposal satisfy the expectations of our peoples and that of all countries?

Finally, it is impossible not to stop and think about what would happen if we occupy ourselves with the questions of aerial photography and the exchange of military information without taking effective measures for reduction of armaments and prohibition of atomic weapons.

There apprehensions which I cannot help but share with you. Would not such a situation lead to the weakening of vigilance in towards the still existing threat of violation of the peace generated by the arms race?

remarks do not at all mean that we cannot achieve an agreement or important aspects of the disarmament problem. I would like to call your attention to the fact that on very substantial aspects of this problem our position has become so close to each other's that we should be able to reach a definite agreement.

Let us take such a question as the establishment of levels of accord forces for the Great Powers.

1: 14

It is generally recognized that this is a question of great importance. Originally, the idea of establishing levels to which armed forces of the Big Five should be reduced, as is known, was put forth by your Government together with the Governments of Great Britain and France in 1952. In the interest of achieving general agreement on this matter which is so important for the the problem of disarmament, we decided to adopt this joint proposal of U.S., England, and France, as a basis for discussion.

Consequently we have a common point of view on this question.

It is very important for us to arrive at agreement on this point.

at the present, when the greatest armies of the world have at their disposal such means of mass destruction as atomic and bydrogen weapons, it is impossible, of course, to talk about disarmment without touching on this important subject. Therefore, we have always attached paramount importance to the problem of probletion of atomic weapons. In the discussion of this problem, one of the substantial subjects of disagreement was the question of disea when the prohibition against the use of atomic weapons would go into force. In our desire to bring the opposing positions closer and to thereby facilitate and expectite the achievement of agreement on this subject, we agreed to accept the dates for putsing into force the prohibition me the use of atomic weapons which were proposed by the represent tives of England and France

in the

in the Subcommittee of the UN Commission on Disarmament in London in April 1955.

I think you will agree that the proposal concerning the stage at which prohibition against the use of atomic weapons would warms come into force, as proposed by England and France, and accepted by the Soviet Union, satisfies our common interests.

It would be desirable-and I think completely feasible-to reach an agreement also on this question.

It also seems expedient for us to reach agreement at this time on putting into effect several measures designed to prevent sudden attack by one state on another. We feel that this measure would be in accord with the interests of maintaining peace and security of nations and in this respect it would be possible to reach agreement also concerning the form of control suitable to the above-mentioned problem.

You, Mr. President, as a military man, know from your own experience that the modern war requires drawing into military action armies of many millions and an enormous quantity of technical combat equipment. In this connection great importance has now been acquired by the definite locations where concentration of large military groups can take place and whose armaments would include all this technical combat equipment. The system of control proposed by WS namely the creation of control posts in large ports, at railroad junctions, on automobile highways and at airfields, is designed to prevent dangerous concentrations

of troops

and combat equipment on large scale and thereby remove the possibility of sudden attack by one country against another. Establishments of such posts would be an important step towards relaxation of international tension and the establishment of trust among states.

In my opinion our proposal concerning control posts has the advantage that it provides a definite guarantee against a sudden attack by one state against another.

I think you will agree that the proposals introduced by us concerning levels of armed forces, the dates for coming into effect of the prohibition of nuclear weapons and for the establishment of control posts can promote the reduction of tension in the international relations and strengthening of peace. I do not see, therefore, any reasons why we couldn't arrange to reach agreement on these questions. Such joint decisions of the Four Powers would have tremendous importance because they would put into the hearts of millions of people the assurance that the disarmament is fully realizable and that direction steps are being taken in this direction. An agreement on these questions would open the way towards solution of other questions which concern the problem of disarmament. would encourage the strenghening of that atmosphere of cooperation and mutual understanding which we initiated at Geneva, and it would wreate favorable conditions to put into practice

practice a broader program of disarmament and control over this disarmament.

In presenting ideas to you, Mr. President, I am inspired by the sineere desire to achieve through a frank exchange of opinions on the problem of disarmament better mutual understanding which may facilitate reaching agreed decisions on this most important problem.

In as much as the solution of these questions depends mainly on the Four Great Powers who participated in the Geneva Conference, I have taken the liberty of sending copies of this letter to Mr. Eden and Mr. Faure and hope that you will not misunderstand this action.

I hope soon to receive your ideas on the questions touched upon in this letter.

With sincere respect,

N. Bulganin

TRANSMITTAL SLIP	15
TO: & ()	
ROOM NO. BUILDING	
Please file	
Can represe	- lower
& Bulganin.	
FROM: OLDING E	XTENSION
FORM NO. 241 REPLACES FORM 36-8	(47